(1)

3 Single Bench

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 5726 **/2005**

PETITIONER

5883/05
Rakesh Dubey
Pre... dated 11.11.05

Rameshwar Das Bairagi,
S/o. Shri T.D. Bairagi,
Aged about 41 years,
Occupation – service,
Presently working as Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School Kawalajhar, Block Dabhara, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through its Secretary, Department of School Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director, Public Instructions, Raipur (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
11/11/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, ORDER OR ORDERS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.5726/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का नांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

9-12-2005

Shri Ashish Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Vivek Sharma, Panel Lawyer for the State, on advance copy.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been transferred as per Annexure P-1 dated 31-10-2005 during the ban period. He submits that for the present he may be given an opportunity to make a representation before the respondents.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order within 15 days from today and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel further submits that the petitioner has not been relieved and he may be allowed to continue till the decision of the representation.

It is directed that if the petitioner has not been relieved, he may be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Consequently M.W.P.No. 4531/2005 and I.A. No. 9548/2005 also stand disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Parthale

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये

13.12.05